

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)

charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com

David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502)

davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com

Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649)

melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com

John Neukom (Bar No. 275887)

johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com

Jordan Jaffe (Bar No. 254886)

jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com

50 California Street, 22nd Floor

San Francisco, California 94111-4788

Telephone: (415) 875-6600

Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

Attorneys for WAYMO LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING
LLC,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**DECLARATION OF LINDSAY COOPER
IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS'
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
UNDER SEAL (DKT. 409)**

1 I, Lindsay Cooper, declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice in the State of California and am admitted to
3 practice before this Court. I am an associate at the law firm Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan,
4 LLP, counsel for the Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”). I have personal knowledge of the matters set
5 forth in this Declaration, and if called as a witness I would testify competently to those matters.

6 2. I make this declaration in support of Defendants’ Administrative Motion to Seal
7 portions of their Response to Waymo’s Supplemental Brief Regarding Haslim Testimony, and
8 Supporting Exhibits Thereto (the “Administrative Motion”). The Administrative Motion seeks an
9 order sealing certain highlighted portions of Defendants’ Response to Waymo’s Supplemental Brief
10 Regarding Haslim Testimony, and Supporting Exhibits Thereto (Dkt. 409) (“Defendants’ Response
11 and Supporting Exhibits”).

12 3. Portions of Defendants’ Response and Supporting Exhibits (including Yang Exhibit 1-
13 2 and 4-6 contain or refer to trade secret and confidential business information, which Waymo seeks
14 to seal.

15 4. Portions of Defendants’ Response (portions highlighted in green), portions of Yang
16 Exhibits 1-2 and 4 (portions highlighted in green) and Yang Exhibits 5-6 (entire documents) contain,
17 reference, and/or describe Waymo’s asserted trade secrets. The information Waymo seeks to seal
18 includes the confidential design and functionality of Waymo’s proprietary autonomous vehicle
19 system, which Waymo maintains as secret. I understand that these trade secrets are maintained as
20 secret by Waymo (Dkt. 25-47) and are valuable as trade secrets to Waymo’s business (Dkt. 25-31).
21 The public disclosure of this information would give Waymo’s competitors access to in-depth
22 descriptions—and analysis—of the functionality of Waymo’s autonomous vehicle system. If such
23 information were made public, I understand that Waymo’s competitive standing would be
24 significantly harmed.

25 5. Waymo’s request to seal is narrowly tailored to those portions of Defendants’
26 Response that merit sealing, and the scope of information that Waymo is seeking to seal is consistent
27 with other administrative motions to seal that have already been granted by the Court in this case.
28 (*See* Dkt. 416, 414, 406, 393, 392.)

1
2 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United
3 States of America that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed in San
4 Francisco, California, on May 15, 2017.

5
6 By /s/ Lindsay Cooper
7 Lindsay Cooper
8 Attorneys for WAYMO LLC
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28